

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO BC: 1450
Alexandra, Vugnia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,168	04/26/2002	Chun Byung Yang	5333-02500	1183
75	590 06/20/2003			
Eric B Meyertons Conley Rose & Tayon PO Box 398			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CAM N	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	i
		DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	App
09/980.168	

Applicant(s)

Yang

Office Action Summary

Examiner Cam Nguyen

Art Unit 1754



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b) Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 26, 2002 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X: Claim(s) 6-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) ____ 6) X Claim(s) 6-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claims ______are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) Light The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)... The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a)... approved b)... disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) X All b) Some* c) None of: 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) _ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ___ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8-10 6) Other

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(a)/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-29 & 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Toida et al., "hereinafter Toida", (US Pat. 5,877,265).

Toida discloses a solid titanium catalyst containing magnesium, titanium, and silicon (see col. 26, ln 18-27).

Recitation of product-by-process in the claims is noted. While the catalyst of Toida is not made by the same process, the catalyst made is the same as the claimed catalyst. Further, the process limitations have no bearing on the patentability of the product because it has been held that "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process,

Application/Control Number: 09/980,168 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even the prior art product was made by a different process." See *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

With respect to the metal concentrations and molar ratios in claim 32, it appears met by the teaching of the reference (see Toida at col. 26, ln 20-27 & ln 47-54).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 6-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al., "hereinafter Lee", (US Pat. 6,291,385 B1).

Application/Control Number: 09/980,168 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Lee discloses a solid titanium catalyst and a process of preparing said catalyst by (1) producing a liquid magnesium solution by reacting a mixture of a magnesium compound and an aluminum compound with alcohol in a solvent compound of inert hydrocarbon, (2) getting the liquefied magnesium solution to react with an ester compound having at least one hydroxy group and a silane compound having at least one alkoxy compound, as electron donors, and then by reacting it by addition with a titanium compound (see col. 2, ln 20-29). Suitable magnesium compounds, ester compounds, silicon compounds, and titanium compounds are shown at col. 2, ln 30- col. 4, ln 29), which includes the claimed compounds. The claimed metal concentrations are also met by the reference (see col. 3, ln 51-55 & col. 4, ln 24-29).

Lee discloses the claimed solid titanium catalyst and its method of production, thus anticipates the claims.

Citations

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shinozaki et al. (US Pat. 6,537,942 B2), Imai et al. (US Pat. 4,390,671), Kojoh et al. (US Pat. 5,936,049), Kioda et al. (US Pat. 4,673,719), Kioda et al. (US Pat. 6,111,038), Hosaka et al. (US Pat. 5,498,770), Kojoh et al. (US Pat. 6,521,560 B1), Kioda et al. (US Pat. 6,235,854 B1), & Kojoh et al. (US Pat. 6,323,150 B1) are cited for related art.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,168

Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

6. Claims 6-32 are pending. Claims 6-32 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3923. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am. to 6:00 pm, with alternative Monday

off.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (before finals) and (703) 872-9311 (after-final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Nguyen/cnn CMIV

June 16, 2003

Cam Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1754